

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,322	03/02/2004	Dmitry Andreev	END920030143	1826	
Andrew M. Ca	7590 09/24/2008 Ideron	EXAMINER			
Greenblum and Bernstein P.L.C.			TABOR, AMARE F		
1950 Roland C Reston, VA 20			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2139		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,322	ANDREEV ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AMARE TABOR	2139		

	AMARE TABOR	2139					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. \[\text{\text{\$\text{\$The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	in period for reply exprises on: (1) the maining date of this Auxistry Action, or (2) the date set forth in the linial replaction, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TA						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date is whave been filled is the date for purposes of otermining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 							
AMENDMENTS	a prior to the data of filips a brief						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett 		lucina or simplifyina th	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	ideing of simplifying ti	10 133463 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		be entered and an ex	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	h. '				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Kristine Kincaid/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2139							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the independent Claims [1, 9, 15 and 22], Applicants argued that Kaufman fails to maintain the user password on the portal and avoids exposing the user password to network resources beyond the portal.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' argument. First, Examiner notes that arguments presented in pages 9 and 10 as having a typo error; i.e., "Kaufman" is referred as "Young". For example, Examiner read the statement "...despite these hashing and encryption mechanisms, Young still exposes the user's password to a variety of network resouces." Second, Examiner would like to direct Applicants to abstract of Kaufman which states, [lines 10-13] "In an aspect of the invention, a login protocol enables remote authentication of the user password without transmitting the password over the network." In other words, the login protocol [portal of the invention, performs a remote authentication without transmitting for exposing] the user password over the network. In along, Kaufman discloses, a key generator accepting a password from a user and generating a private/public RSA key pair from the user and "...the user's RSA key is not revealed to any other party..." See cold, lines 59 and 600.

Examiner asserts that Kaufman obviously discloses the claim limitation, "maintaining the user password on the portal server and avoiding exposing the user password to network resources beyond the portal server"; and therefore, the rejection is respectfully maintained.